THE NEED OF A NEW U.S. POLICY: IN SOUTHEAST ASIA

Mr. GRUENING, Mr. President, on June 12, at the Santa Monica Civio Auditorium in Santa Monica, Calif., the distinguished Senator from Oregon (Mr. Morsel delivered a brief but important address which he began by stating:

Today, the American people and the world ars heing told that our foreign policy must be left to the Chief Executive and his small handful of advisers because it is too compilcated and too important for the American people to understand.

He goes on to say:

The consensus on Victnam sought today is not a conseneus of our people, nor even of the community of nations; it is a consensus among the State Department, Defense Depertment, CIA, and White House staff. Once thet is achieved, the questions from the Congress and the people are bushed up with rebukes suggesting that to ask where we are going in southeast Asia and how we are going to get there amounts to giving aid and comfort to the enemy.

The Scnator from Oregon goes on to point out how many of our leaders who have been guiding policy have been mistaken. He points out, for example, that on July 8, 1954, the chief of the U.S. military aid mission in Vietnam, Gen. John O'Daniel, declared:

The war in Indochina can etill be won without bringing in one single American coldier to fight. The Vietnamese have ample manpower and even today outnumber the enemy hy 100,000 with superior firepower at least in the ratio of 2 to 1, and prohably more. And we are ready to assist them in treining an adequate national army for the security of their homeland.

The Senator from Oregon goes on to mention more mistaken prophecies; for example, one by Secretary McNamara when he prophesled that in 1965 our military mission would be ended in South Victnam and we would be withdrawing our troops.

Senator Mosse concludes by saying: Thie is no longer an issue of whether an American protests sgainst the war in Vietnam give ald or encouragement to the Viet-It is an lasue of whether southeast-Asia is going to be saved from war and communism by our present policy, and I say it to not. We are driving Asians by the mil-lions into the arms of communism.

The Senator from Oregon concludes with this statement:

The American people must demand a new policy in Asia, not only one of legality and pure 1200 460 1 100 will work at an account build he will work at an account build he will be at a count build build be at a count build build build be at a count build bu

Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have the full text of the remarks of the Senator from Oregon printed in the RECORD.

There being no objection, the remarks were ordered to be printed in the RECORO, as follows:

REMARKS OF SENATOR WATER MOREE, SANTA MONICA CIVIO AUDITORIUM, SANTA MONICA, CALIF., JONE 12, 1965

Today, the American people and the world are being told that foreign policy must be left to the Chief Executive and hie small handful of advisers because it is too complicated and too important for the American people to understand. The consensus on Victnam sought today is not a consensus of our people, nor even of the community of netions; it is a consensus smong the fists Department, Defense Department, OIA, and Whits House staff. Once that is sohieved; the queetions from the Congress and the people are hushed up with rehukes suggesting that to ack where we are going in coutheast Asia and how we are going to get there amount to giving aid and comfort to an enemy

Fifty-two years ago it was elmilarly unpatriotic to ask questions of Kalser Wilhelm and Von Moltke, Poincare and Joffre, Asquith and Lord Grey. To question national alms. and the mesns employed to pursue them has,. throughout modern history, been silenced as a service to an enemy, just as though all wtsdom lay with those in office and none with those who must alweye pay for the achievsment of national aims.

And what a river of blood those men caused to flow across Europe. We look back on the events of two World Wars and we wonder how the people who suffered such terrible misery could heve allowed themselvee to he propelled into World War I by leadere who today are remembered for their utter hilndness end folly in thinking that any of their netional aims could be achieved by resort to war and violence.

Yet the same ominous eigns of an imposed and enforced national unity are being eeen in America today. One of the popular ax-hibits flashed about by foreign policy officials of our Government is a collection of news broadcasts and published stories from Hanol and Peiping which express the belief of the Communists that America is weak and divided on its Asian policy as evidenced by teach-ins, by the votes in Congress against blank-check war, and by student disturb-ances and railies. No doubt the reports of this meeting will be made in the Communist countries of Asia and cited as an indication that if the war lasts long. enough, Americans, like the French before us, will tirs of the struggle and give up: And there will be newspaper stories here that will try to convince the public that only Communists and promoters of Communist causes could do such a thing as to question the soundness of American foreign policy as handed down by the combined wisdom of the Defense De tment, the State Department, the CIA, and the White House staff.

But what le the record of these people in southeast Asia? Their record is one of having been wrong not once but consistently over 11 years.

On July 8, 1984, the chief of the U.S. milltary sid mission in Vietnam, Gen. John O'Daniel, declared, and I quote:

"The war in Indochina can still be won without bring in one single American soldier to fight.

"The Victnamess have emple manpower

adequate national army for the security of their homelend."

We banked over \$2 billion on President Diem for this purpose. And 6 years later we consented to bis assassination as a means of removing him because he was losing more. and more of South Vietnam to the Vietcong.

In the last 4 years, the record of sdministration officials has been even worse. With each mission to South Vietnsm, we were told by the Secretary of Defense or of State that one more increment of American funde, or helicopters, or advisors would be the one that would put the war effort over. We even heard the Nation's leading expert on Vieta. nam, Secretary of Defense McNamars, tell us a little over a year ago that 1965 was the year when the American military could come home from Vietnam. Hie chief announcements today take the form of revealing new assignments of units to Vietnam, the dispetching of more Nevy vessels to Victnam, and the increase in U.S. air raids in Vietnam.

Yet these are the men to whom Americans are told they must place complete, unquestioning, and uncritical confidence. I say that aside from every other objection to such a resignation from our duties as citizens, these people have been too wrong for too long to ustify any confidence at all.

But I predict that they will continue to widen and expand this war unless the American people rice to stop them. The next move ts not long off because the impact of the iast expansion of the war is wearing off.

We are already being prepared through the press for another escalation. We are already reading that while the air raids on the North gave a great boost to the morale of the South, the "boost" le wearing off, and something more will be needed to bolster Salgon hack up sgain.

In his prize-winning eccount of our adventure with Ngo Dinh Diem, David Halberstam wrote:

"In the spring and cummer of 1962, the arrival of the American helicoptere had given the faltering cause in Vietnem a hooster shot. It had not altered the nature of the war or the enemy's techniques, but they were cought off guard by the new equipment and tha increased firepower of the government troons.

But, he continues:

'A year after the American hulldup of weaponry and personnel had reached its peak, it was clear that the government had lost the initiative, that the enemy had henefited more from the weapone than we had, that his capacity, bad increased more than the Army of South Vietnam in the past year, and that with the fallure of the Government civio program the guerrillas' tactical position was also superior.

The American buildup which had been prompted by a deteriorating situation in late 1961, had lost its edge and momentum; the Communists had learned to react, and thanks to the outposts the enemy had been able to use the Government as a supply etore."

The raids on the North by American planes have gone through much the same Great rejuvenation was reported pattern. among the soldiere and politicians of the South. But Sunday (June 8) the New York. Times tells us that "in the last 8 days the Victoring guerrillas have dispelled almost all the optimiem that the South Vietnamese Government had been promoting for \$ months. The Communist forces appear to have proved, if there were doubts, that the South Vietnamese Army fared well all spring only by default."

This time the American response has been and even today outnumber the enemy by to move American ground forces in to do the; 100,000 with superior firepower at least in a. fighting, in addition to the bombing. Staty. Office MID 10510 8rd 1970 00550038 (1981) Thousand troops are now the legacy of Gent's we are leady to make them, in waining all, first O'Daniet's policy that 600 U.S. military.

Continued